



Capteurs et *Biofouling* *pour les observatoires en environnement marin*

Atelier PucesCom

Intégration des capteurs : contraintes et architecture.

29 Avril 2010

Brest, France

L.Delauney (laurent.delauney@ifremer.fr)

**IFREMER – French Institute For Marine Research
In Situ Measurements and Electronics**

Context

Context : Marine Benthic Observatories.




Illustration source : Neptune Canada (www.neptunecanada.ca)

Context :


Marine Benthic Observatories.



Illustration source : Neptune Canada (www.neptunecanada.ca)

Context : **Marine Benthic Observatories.**


- Various depth (from 15 meters down to whatever needed)
- Long term monitoring (more than 1 month)
- Low maintenance



- **Oceanographic sensor are involved (ROSE Project) :**
 - Hydrocarbon fluorometer : Trios EnviroFlu-HC (*)
 - 2 Turbidity Meters : WET labs BBRTD-226R / D&A OBS 3
 - O2 Optode Sensor : Aanderaa 3830 (+ temperature)
 - CTD : SBE 37SMP
 - ADCP : RDI 300 kHz

Context : Marine Benthic Observatories.

After one month (June-July - 25 m)



After three months (June-Sept. - 25 m)



Biofilm development must be taken into account ...

Biofouling example

YSI 6600 EDS (Extended Deployment System) - Clean Sweep™

150 days ♦ April - Sept 2005 ♦ St Anne Portzic Brest



Photo : Ifremer (FR) L. Delauney

Biofouling example

YSI 6600 EDS (Extended Deployment System) - Clean Sweep™

150 days ♦ April - Sept 2005 ♦ St Anne Portzic Brest



Photo : Ifremer (FR) L. Delauney

Biofouling example

Optisens Transmissometer

90 days ♦ August - October 2005 ♦ Trondheim



Photo : Ifremer (FR) L. Delauney

Biofouling example

Seapoint Fluorometer

90 days ♦ May - July 2006 ♦ Brest



Photo : Ifremer (FR) L. Delauney

Biofouling example

70 days ♦ June - August 2005 ♦ Helgoland - DE



Ifremer (FR) L. Delauney Y. Fajjan
GKSS (DE) K. Kröger et Al. - CNRS UPR15 (FR) H. Cachet et Al.

Biofouling example

- Materials and shape should be choosed very carefully in order to reduce fouling attachment.

40 days ♦ August - October 2005 ♦ Helgoland - DE



Photo : Ifremer (FR) L. Delauney




Photo : Ifremer (FR) L. Delauney

Context : **Biofouling effect on marine sensors :
Progressive interface modification.**

➤ **Optical sensors : turbidimeter, fluorometer, ...,**


**=> optical property modification
(Window opacity, interference, ...**



Atlantic Ocean



Bosphorus strait



Baltic sea


Photos : Ifremer (FR)

➤ **Membrane based sensors : pH, oxygen.**


=> membrane permeability modifications.



Ifremer (FR) L. Delauney




**Loss of sensibility,
drift,
response time, etc.**



**This problem must be treated as long as autonomous
measurement longer than 1 week is involved.**

Context : Biofouling effect on an *in-situ* Fluorometer



100 days ♦ 19 may - 31 August ♦ Millport



Ifremer (FR) Delauney, V.Lepage - UMBSM (UK) Dr P. Cowlie




BioFouling examples



Sensor deviation example : conductivity

133 days ◆ 03 June - 16 October 2003 ◆ St Anne Portzic Brest

107 days ◆ 03 June - 20 September 2004 ◆ Houat Island



Conductivity Measurement - TPS35 Micrel Instrument

Context :

Objectives

➤ **The protection system must delay the biofouling effect on the response of the measuring system for at least 1 month in severe conditions and for 3 months in average condition.**

For specific applications like deep sea observatories, biofouling protection effect should last for at least 6 months.

➤ **The protection system should be compatible with autonomous energy supplying (batteries).**

➤ **The protection system must be adaptable quite easily on existing instrumentation.**

➤ **The protection system must not affect the measurements produced.**


Protection strategies:

To get closer and closer to the
measurement interface ...

Strategies :

Global Protection


➤ Pumping is needed



MAREL - Ifremer
Mesures Automatisées pour l'environnement littoral
(Autonomous Measurement for Coastal Environment)

Strategies :

Local Protection



Biocide

- Pumping system not needed
- Biocide can be localised as close as possible of the sensing element of the instrument.




Strategies :

Coated window Protection

Interface Modification

Glass window coated with a specific material
in order to generate biocide on the surface
(Work in progress)



Ifremer (FR) L. Delauney

TriOS microFlu-chl

- Optical sensor, camera, lights, ...
- biocide generation is situated on the window surface.
- Biocide quantity needed is very low.



Existing biofouling protection for oceanographic sensors



Mecanical Protection

YSI 6600 EDS (Extended Deployment System) - Clean Sweep™



Copper Biofouling protection

Fluorimeter Seapoint + Hobilabs Hydroshutter



- The instrument must be customised in order to build a Copper cell.
- The Hydroshutter must be controlled by an external unit in order to open and to close it.

Copper Biofouling protection


Fluorimeter Seapoint + Hobilabs Hydroshutter



Ifremer (FR) L. Delauney

Local Protection

In situ biofouling prevention efficiency test
56 days duration ♦ March - May ♦ Mt St Michel Bay



Coated window Protection

40 days ♦ August - October 2005 ♦ Helgoland - DE



Ifremer (FR) L. Delauney

Ifremer (FR) L. Delauney Y. Fajjan
GKSS (DE) K. Kröger et Al. - CNRS UPR15 (FR) H. Cachet et Al.

Conclusion

- **Various techniques are now available to protect windows :**
 - Wipers
 - Copper shutter
 - Bleach
 - Local biocide generation
- **The choice can be driven by different aspects :**
 - Hardware matter :**
 - Robustness (depth of use)
 - Mechanical complexity
 - Easiness of adaptation to the existing instrument
 - Level of integration
 - Metrological aspect :**
 - Adverse effect to the measured parameter.
 - Is system can be turned on and off.
 - Economical aspect :**
 - Availability on the market.
 - Price.



Local Chlorination for biofouling protection of oceanographic sensors




Chlorine Generation in Seawater

Principle

Chlorine Generation System In Sea Water


➤ Sea water electrolysis : Hypochlorous Acid generation.




Note : Anode and Cathode naming is electrochemistry convention, electricity convention is the opposite.

Chlorine Generation System In Sea Water


« Working electrode »
Anode



Then in function of pH and Temperature :




Hypochlorous Acid







When pH => btw 7 and 8.5, temperature has no effect (Chambers *et al.*)
Seawater pH = 8.2

Chlorine Generation System In Sea Water

« Counter electrode »
Cathode



A deposit can be observed on the counter electrode :






Electrodes exemples

ROSE Experiment results Benthic station – June to September 2006 - 25 meters deep

- Hydrocarbon fluorometer : Trios EnviroFlu-HC



Local Window Protection

In situ biofouling prevention efficiency test
56 days duration ♦ March - May ♦ Mt St Michel Bay





photo : Ifremer (FR) L. Delauney – laurent.delauney@ifremer.fr - http://www.ifremer.fr/ic/pages/sys_antisalissures.htm


ROSE Experiment results Benthic station – June to September 2006 - 25 meters deep

➤ Oceanographic sensor involved :

- Hydrocarbon fluorometer : Trios EnviroFlu-HC
- 2 Turbidity Meters : WET labs BBRTD-226R / D&A OBS 3
- O2 Optode Sensor : Aanderaa 3830 (+ temperature)



Ifremer (FR) L. Delauney



Ifremer (FR) L. Delauney



Ifremer (FR) L. Delauney



Laboratory tests and *In situ* tests

Tests performed :

- **Fluorescence sensors :**

- Scufa Turner Designs - Millport island, Scotland
- microFlu-chl TriOS - Helgoland, Germany
- Seapoint - Brest - France

- **Transmissometer : Optisens**

- Trondheim, Norway

- **Turbidity : TBD 35 NKE**

- Sainte Anne du Portzic Brest, France
- Mont Saint Michel Bay, France

- **Oxygène : Optode Aanderaa**

- Sainte Anne du Portzic Brest, France



Various
places for test



Tests performed :

• Fluorescence sensors :

- Scufa Turner Designs - Millport island, Scotland
- microFlu-chl TriOS - Helgoland, Germany
- Seapoint - Brest - France

• Transmissometer : Optisens

- Trondheim, Norway

• Turbidity : TBD 35 NKE

- Sainte Anne du Portzic Brest, France
- Mont Saint Michel Bay, France

• Oxygène : Optode Aanderaa

- Sainte Anne du Portzic Brest, France



Various places for test



Various instrumentals technologies




Local Chlorination

Fluorometer

Local Chlorination

In situ biofouling prevention efficiency test
100 days duration ♦ 19th may - 31st Aug ♦ Millport




Fluorescence Measurement - Turner Scufa instrument

Ifremer (FR) Delauney, V.Lepage - GMTC (UK) Pr M.J. Cowling - Dr P. Cowlie

Local Chlorination

In situ biofouling prevention efficiency test
100 days duration ♦ 19th may - 31st Aug ♦ Millport



Fluorescence Measurement - Turner Scufa instrument

Ifremer (FR) Delauney, V.Lepage - GMTC (UK) Pr M.J. Cowling - Dr P. Cowlie

Local Chlorination

Conductivity

Local Chlorination

In situ biofouling prevention efficiency test


133 days ♦ 03 June - 16 October 2003 ♦ St Anne Portzic Brest

107 days ♦ 03 June - 20 September 2004 ♦ Houat Island



Ifremer (FR)

Site de sainte Anne du portzic.



Ifremer (FR)

Installation Houat TPS 35.



Ifremer (FR)



Ifremer (FR)


Conductivity Measurement - TPS35 Micrel Instrument

Local Chlorination

In situ biofouling prevention efficiency test

133 days ♦ 03 June - 16 October 2003 ♦ St Anne Portzic Brest


107 days ♦ 03 June - 20 September 2004 ♦ Houat Island



Conductivity Measurement - TPS35 Micrel Instrument


Local Chlorination on a VENUS Transmissometer

Double electrode biofilm protection principle if a free chlorination period is not necessary



Energy needed for 9 months autonomy for two electrodes => 66960 mA => 4 Saft LS33600 C cells

VENUS TRANSMISSOMETER Biofouling Protection




Ifremer (FR) L. Delauney

VENUS TRANSMISSOMETER Biofouling Protection




Counter
Electrodes



Working
Electrodes

Electrode Window Chlorination




VENUS TRANSMISSOMETER

Ifremer Biofouling Protection – VENUS Data

Results

VENUS SI 48°39.0722' N / 123°29.1685' W 96m




Data courtesy of Venus Canada (www.venus.uvic.ca)

VENUS TRANSMISSOMETER

Ifremer Biofouling Protection – VENUS Data

Results



VENUS
Summer/Fall
2008



University
of Victoria

The Ocean Online, Real-Time, Anytime

KEEPING CURRENT

VENUS INSTALLATION IS COMPLETE!

Adrian Round (VENUS Project Manager)

Newsletter Contents

VENUS Installation is Complete	1
VENUS Proposes Expansion to Serve Research.....	1/4
Challenges on the Delta Front.....	2
New Data on the VENUS Observatory.....	2
Transmissometer Biofouling Protection System	3
McPherson Canada and Bremer Bay PO-4 will.....	3
VENUS Student News	4
VENUS Cabled Observatory, Profile 2008.....	4



Strait of Georgia Central Node being deployed to 300m



Transmissometer Biofouling Protection System

Paul Macoun (VENUS Project Engineer)



Figure 4. IFREMER Biofouling system on VENUS

A customized bio-fouling protection system was installed on the Saanich Inlet SeaTech Transmissometer in February 2008. This local chlorination system was developed by engineers at the French research institute IFREMER.

The system is comprised of 3 electrodes, one adjacent to each optical window on the Transmissometer, and one centrally located between the two windows. The electrodes are supported by a small housing which contains the

system controller and several Lithium cells.

The principle used to reduce bio-fouling is the electrolysis of sea water, which produces free chlorine in the vicinity of the optical windows. The controller alternates voltage potential between the central electrode and each window electrode switching every 10 minutes.

Figure 4 shows the IFREMER system mounted on the SeaTech Transmissometer. Figure 5 is a graph of Transmissometer data from March 1—Aug 1 2007 (+ symbol) overlaid with data from the same interval the following year (lines).

There is a noticeable difference from before and after the sys-

tem was mounted to the Transmissometer. The 2007 data indicate progressive fouling and resulting signal attenuation. The 2008 data look reasonable until mid-summer. The engineers at IFREMER believe the Lithium cells had become depleted at this point, and as a result we begin to see signal attenuation in June and July 2008.

In September 2008 the bio-fouling system was redeployed on the Transmissometer. The latest improvement to the system was the inclusion of a cable linkage to a Scientific Instrument




Figure 5. Transmissometer Data Comparison 2007-2008

Interface Module (SIIM). The system is now powered continuously through the VENUS array.

VENUS and IFREMER will continue to collaborate on bio-fouling protection systems. The present plan is to use the local chlorination system to protect other optical instruments on the various observatory platforms.




Tempo mini

Biofouling protection


Tempo mini

Biofouling protection



Tempo mini


Biofouling protection



Photos : Ifremer (FR) L. Delauney

Tempo mini

Biofouling protection



Photos : Ifremer (FR) L. Delauney



Photo : Venus Canada (www.venus.uvic.ca)

Tempó mini

Biofouling protection

A 6 months campaign has been performed with fouling protection OK.




Photo : Venus Canada (www.venus.uvic.ca)


Conclusion

- **Local Protection can be adapted to many kind of instrument quite easily.**
- **The energy need is compatible with autonomous monitoring (2 D cell for 3 months).**
- **Good results have been obtained for parameters commonly used for marine monitoring.**
- **local Protection can be scheduled in order to leave free time interval to perform the measurement (if needed).**
- **50 mm diameter windows of optical sensors have been protected with success.**
- **In some situations, pumping should be kept in order to flush the system to prevent sediment trap or deposit on sensors.**


Biofouling management

Biofouling management


- Autonomous sequencing
- Internal powering (batteries)
- Fouling sensor for optimized scheduling
- Synchronization with the sensor to protect



Global biofouling management



Smart Sensors



- Composant clé dans l'architecture des observatoires pour assurer l'interopérabilité et la compatibilité des instruments sur différentes architectures câblées et non câblées.
- Cœur numérique de nouvelle génération:
 - Ajoute des services et des fonctionnalités réseaux aux instruments actuels
 - Permet la conception d'instruments/capteurs de nouvelle génération, communicants, basés sur Ethernet

- Création d'un consortium basé sur un développement collaboratif regroupant plusieurs instituts: Ifremer, Ensieta, IPGP/INSU, UPC Barcelone/SARTI (Espagne), KDM (Allemagne): Collaborations, publications...

Conclusion

- **Various techniques are now available to protect sensors :**
 - **Wipers**
 - **Copper shutter**
 - **Local biocide generation (Ifremer)**

- **The choice can be driven by different aspects :**

Hardware matter :

- **Robustness**
- **Mechanical complexity**
- **Easiness of adaptation to the existing instrument**
- **Level of integration**

Metrological aspect :

- **Adverse effect to the measured parameter.**
- **Is system can be turned on and off ?**

Economical aspect :

- **Availability on the market.**
- **Price.**